Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Bis(*tert*-butyl isocyanide- κ C)[4-fluoro-N-({2-[N-(4-fluorophenyl)carboximidoyl]cyclopenta-2,4-dien-1-ylidene}methyl)anilinido- $\kappa^2 N, N'$]copper(l)

Alexander M. Willcocks,^a Andrew L. Johnson,^a* Paul R. Raithby,^a Stefanie Schiffers^a and John E. Warren^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, England, and ^bSTFC Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington WA4 4AD, England Correspondence e-mail: a.l.johnson@bath.ac.uk

Received 17 April 2011 Accepted 24 May 2011 Online 4 June 2011

The solid-state structure of the title compound, $[Cu(C_{19}H_{13}-F_2N_2)(C_5H_9N)_2]$, shows that the Cu^I centre adopts a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry, being coordinated by two N atoms of the 6-aminofulvene-2-aldimine (AFA) chelating ligand and by the bridgehead C atoms of the two isocyanide ligands. The cyclopentadienyl and imine components of the AFA ligand are approximately coplanar, with an angle between the planes of 5.00 (3)°. The Cu atom lies 0.6460 (3) Å above the imine plane defined by the N and C atoms of the seven-membered metallocycle. There is also an uncommon C–H···Cu anagostic interaction, with an intramolecular Cu···H distance of 2.67 Å, which is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii.

Comment

The diimine-substituted cyclopentadienyl 6-aminofulvene-2aldimines (AFAs) have recently attracted attention as ligands for a variety of metals because of both their similarity to well established ligand systems, such as β -diketiminate, aminotroponiminate or anilidoiminate ligands (Willcocks *et al.*, 2011; Bailey *et al.*, 2003, 2007, 2010), and their ability to bind to metal centres *via* the diimine donor groups or *via* the cyclopentadienyl unit (Bailey *et al.*, 2003, 2007).

As part of a wider study into the coordination chemistry of Cu^I complexes, we have recently reported the double migratory insertion of phenyl isocyanide (Ph-NC) into two vicinal sp^2 C-H bonds of the η^5 -coordinated cyclopentadienyl group in the complex [(η^5 -C₅H₅)Cu(CNPh)], resulting in the formation and isolation of the AFA complexes [(Ph₂-AFA)Cu(CNPh)] and [(Ph₂-AFA)Cu(CNPh)₂] (Johnson *et al.*, 2009).

In an attempt to elucidate the mechanism by which the AFA complexes are formed and, more specifically, to understand better the effect that the isocyanide substituent has on the insertion reaction, we investigated a series of reactions between the complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cu(CN^tBu)]$ and varying amounts of alkyl and aryl isocyanides. The reaction of both $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cu(CN'Bu)]$ and $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cu(CNPh)]$ with an excess of the alkyl isocyanide, CN'Bu (ca 4 equivalents) was studied, but ¹H NMR spectroscopic studies failed to establish any evidence of reaction. However, the analogous reaction of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cu(CN'Bu)]$ (Kruck et al., 1993) with an excess (4 equivalents) of CNPh has been shown to produce the AFA complex, [(Ph₂-AFA)Cu(CNPh)₂], as evidenced by the appearance of indicative resonances for the ligand system in the ¹H NMR spectra, and provides an alternative route for the synthesis of the complex (Johnson et al., 2009). We previously noted that the reaction of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cu(CN'Bu)]$ with the electron-withdrawing isocyanides $CN(p-C_6H_4F)$ and $CN(p-C_6H_4F)$ $C_6H_4NO_2$) shows a much more rapid production of AFA complexes (<< 12 h), indicating that the electronic nature of the isocyanide is a significant factor in the migratory insertion of isocyanides into C-H bonds of the Cu-C5H5 systems (Johnson et al., 2009).



The title complex, (I), was formed by the reaction of 4-fluorophenyl isocyanide with $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cu(CN'Bu)]$ in tetrahydrofuran in a copper-mediated insertion of two equivalents of CN(4-C₆H₄F) into two vicinal C-H bonds of the cyclopentadienyl moiety of the complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)-Cu(CN'Bu)]$.

Complex (I) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ (Fig. 1) and an examination of the crystal packing shows no abnormally short intermolecular contacts. The shortest intermolecular interaction is 2.29 Å between atoms F1 and $H20(x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2})$.

The molecular structure of (I) (Fig. 1) shows the Cu^I centre to be coordinated by the two N atoms of the AFA ligand and the two divalent C atoms of the isocyanide ligands (Table 1), which are comparable with the Cu^I–AFA and Cu^I–isocyanide interactions in related complexes (Willcocks *et al.*, 2011), resulting in a coordination environment about the Cu^I centre that is best described as approximately tetrahedral. The cyclopentadienyl and imine portions of the AFA ligand are approximately coplanar. The dihedral angle between the C7– C11 and N2/C6/C7/C11/C12/N3 planes is 5.00 (3)°. The Cu^I



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. The double-dashed line indicates the intramolecular $C-H\cdots Cu$ anagostic interaction.

atom is located 0.6460 (3) Å above the imine portion of the AFA ligand (N2/C6/C7/C11/C12/N3). As with related (AFA)-Cu(CNR)₂ complexes (Willcocks *et al.*, 2011), the bite angle of the AFA ligand in (I) [N2-Cu1-N3 = 106.60 (9)°] is compressed, as a result of pyramidalization at the metal centre.

An interesting feature of this structure is the presence of an intramolecular C-H···Cu anagostic interaction in the solid state. The distance between the H atom and the Cu^I cation $(Cu1 \cdots H24 = 2.67 \text{ Å and } Cu1 \cdots H24 - C24 = 114^{\circ})$ is shorter than the sum of their van der Waals radii (Nag et al., 2007). Similar interactions not only represent a structural curiosity, but these largely electrostatic interactions are believed to have considerable relevance to many catalytic processes (Brookhart et al., 2007). The weak interaction between the ortho-CH group of one of the AFA imine substituents and the metal centre is facilitated by a concomitant re-orientation of the fluorophenylimine substituent, such that the angle subtended between the phenyl ring and the plane defined by the backbone atoms of the AFA ligand, $[C_5(CN)_2]$, is considerably closer to coplanarity $[37.69 (4)^{\circ}]$ than the aryl substituent, which does not engage in an additional interaction with the Cu^{I} centre [67.36 (4)°].

Both isocyanide ligands are distorted away from linearity, such that isocyanide ligand C1 is oriented, or bent, towards the imine substituent on N2, and similarly isocyanide ligand C25 is oriented towards the imine substituent on N3. Density functional theory calculations (DFT) on related systems have suggested there is considerable flexibility in the coordination geometry about the copper centres in these systems, with only small energy differences between potential geometric isomers (Willcocks *et al.*, 2011).

Experimental

To a solution of CpCuCN'Bu (0.21 g, 1.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (10 ml), *p*-FPhNC (0.27 g, 2.20 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h and the volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure. The resultant solid was extracted with warm hexane (3 × 20 ml) and filtered. Further concentration and storage at 245 K resulted in the formation of (I) as dark-yellow crystals suitable for crystallographic investigation (yield 0.25 g, 47%). Analysis calculated for C₂₉H₃₁CuF₂N₄: C 64.85, H 5.82, N 10.43%; found: C 65.01, H 5.84, N 10.35%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 296 K, CDCl₃): δ 1.26 (singlet, 18H, ^{*t*}Bu), 6.19 (triplet, *J* = 3.60 Hz, 1H, CHCHCH), 6.78 (doublet, *J* = 3.54 Hz, 2H, CHCHCH), 6.86–7.20 (complex multiplet, 8H, Ph—F), 8.08 (singlet, 2H, ArNCH).

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Cu}(\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{13}\text{F}_2\text{N}_2)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_9\text{N})_2 \end{bmatrix} & V = 2764.6 \text{ (12) } \text{Å}^3 \\ M_r = 537.12 & Z = 4 \\ \text{Monoclinic, } P_{2_1}/n & \text{Synchrotron radiation} \\ a = 13.943 \text{ (4) } \text{\AA} & \lambda = 0.6939 \text{ Å} \\ b = 9.240 \text{ (2) } \text{\AA} & \mu = 0.79 \text{ mm}^{-1} \\ c = 21.642 \text{ (5) } \text{\AA} & T = 150 \text{ K} \\ \beta = 97.462 \text{ (5)}^\circ & 0.08 \times 0.03 \times 0.02 \text{ mm} \\ \end{bmatrix}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII area-detector	27368 measured reflections
diffractometer	7148 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	5331 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(Blessing, 1995)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.083$
$T_{\min} = 0.937, T_{\max} = 0.985$	

Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$ 331 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.165$ H-atom parameters constrainedS = 1.13 $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.47 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$ 7148 reflections $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.70 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$

Methyl H atoms were located in circular difference Fourier syntheses and thereafter refined as part of a rigid rotating group, with C-H = 0.98 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$. The aromatic H atoms were

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Cu1-C1	1.927 (3)	N2-C6	1.303 (3)
Cu1-C25	1.928 (3)	N2-C13	1.422 (4)
Cu1-N2	2.039 (2)	N3-C12	1.311 (4)
Cu1-N3	2.056 (2)	N3-C19	1.431 (3)
Cu1-H24	2.6692	N4-C25	1.153 (4)
N1-C1	1.150 (4)	N4-C26	1.458 (4)
N1-C2	1.463 (4)		
C1-Cu1-C25	117.70 (12)	C1-Cu1-N3	104.18 (11)
C1-Cu1-N2	112.67 (10)	C25-Cu1-N3	115.54 (11)
C25-Cu1-N2	99.89 (11)	N2-Cu1-N3	106.60 (9)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C20-H20\cdots F1^i$	0.95	2.29	3.209 (4)	162
Symmetry code: (i) x	$-\frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z -$	· 1/2.		

placed geometrically and refined with C–H = 0.95 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *APEX2*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *X-SEED* (Barbour, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The authors are grateful to the EPSRC for studentships to SS and AMW, and for a Senior Fellowship to PRR. The support of the University of Bath is also gratefully acknowledged, as is the award of beamtime by the STFC.

References

- Bailey, P. J., Collins, A., Haack, P., Parsons, S., Rahman, M., Smith, D. & White, F. J. (2010). *Dalton Trans.* **39**, 1591–1597.
- Bailey, P. J., Lorono-Gonzalez, D. & Parsons, S. (2003). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1426–1427.
- Bailey, P. J., Melchionna, M. & Parsons, S. (2007). Organometallics, 26, 128– 135.
- Barbour, L. J. (2001). J. Supramol. Chem. 1, 189-191.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Brookhart, M., Green, M. L. H. & Parkin, G. (2007). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 6908–6914.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Johnson, A. L., Willcocks, A. M., Raithby, P. R., Warren, M. R., Kingsley, A. J. & Odedra, R. (2009). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 922–924.
- Kruck, T. & Terfloth, C. (1993). *Chem. Ber.* **126**, 1101–1106.
- Nag, S., Banerjee, K. & Datta, D. (2007). New J. Chem. **31**, 832–834.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Willcocks, A. M., Gilbank, A., Richards, S. P., Brayshaw, S. K., Kingsley, A. J., Odedra, R. & Johnson, A. L. (2011). *Inorg. Chem.* 50, 937–948.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK3409). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.